The Design and Implementation of Composite Collaborative Filtering Algorithm for Personalized Recommendation
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Abstract—A composite collaborative filtering algorithm for personalized recommend will be presented to solve the original Collaborative Filtering algorithm problem including “None of User Starting” and “Data Sparsity”, and the Spearman rank correlation coefficient will be used as a main correlation coefficient. Top-M commended is going to be used to get the final results in this paper. At last, we will validate that this algorithm is superior to the algorithm of collaborative filtering based on user and the algorithm of collaborative filtering based on item.

Index Terms—composite collaborative filtering algorithm; none of user starting; data sparsity; top-M.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the rapid development and application of today’s science and technology, Modern society has been improved significantly. Those traditional recommended technologies have been explored increasingly more disadvantages. Therefore, a special complex recommended technology [1] need to be presented to satisfy the E-commerce needs from public.

Recently, a majority of “recommended technology” have been presented, including [2]: Bayesian network association rules, Clustering C-means algorithm, Hording map and Collaborative Filtering. However, “Collaborative Filtering” is one of the most successful. The Collaborative Filtering technology was first presented by D.Doldberg etc. With the increasingly develop of science and technology, the application of the recommended technology has been used widely. Such as research group of CroupLens, its main products was called Net pererptions, which is using the technology of “time-line” and could recommend the similar interests to other on-line readers(community),it is also needs the assessments of items from readers; Phoaks[1] was developed by Terveenet etc. It will recommend the websites which will be most possible to be interested in to users, and it mainly analyzes the bulletin wrote by users in the Usernet, then finding the recommended URL in the article, counting the number of URL of people recommending and then getting the final recommending results.

The Collaborative Filtering technology [4,5] is the key point for an efficient recommending system. This kind of system can recommend the efficient data, including: the items people might be interested in, the users who have the similar interests. Based on the previous assessments and the similarity assessment[6,7] about other users, the collaborative filtering algorithm will calculate the value of interests first, and input them to get final results.

The related work is introduced in section 2. Section 3 will further discuss “A special complex recommended technology”. The experiment results will be analyzed in Section4 and Conclusion is shown in section 5.

II. RELATED WORK

Collaborative Filtering technology has been classified in two categories: A Collaborative Filtering based on user and a Collaborative Filtering based on item.

The Collaborative Filtering based on user [8]: The highlight of the collaborative filtering based on user is the interests’ similarity between each user. We can utilize the interests’ similarity to find the nearest neighbors whose interests are familiar with the target one. In addition, every neighbor has its own interests. When using this kind of system, every user needs to give assessments about each item after their applying. Finally, the target user will get the recommends from the collection of these interests based on those assessments.

The main formular[6,9]:

\[
\text{sim}(i,j) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{ik} \cdot R_{jk}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{ik}^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{jk}^2}}
\]

\[
P_{u,i} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{n} (R_{u,m} - \bar{R}_u) \cdot \text{sim}(u,m)}{\sum_{m=1}^{n} \text{sim}(u,m)}
\]

(1)

(2)

(\(R_{u,i}, R_{u,j}\): the assessments from user i and user j)

Sim(i,j) represents the similarity of users or items.
However, the visible disadvantages should not be neglected. Firstly, it is impossible that user have a set of neighbor, and then we can recommend through it. Secondly, Data Sparsity. Therefore, the Collaborative Filtering based on data had been produced.

A Collaborative Filtering based on data: the highlight is “data similarity”. The first step, Find the set of neighborhood of the target data, and then predict the users’ assessment according to all neighbor’s assessments. Lastly, the data which belong to the top-M assessment (has been defined earlier) are the final recommends.

Main formula:

\[ \text{sim}(u,v) = \cos(u,v) \]  

(3)

\[ C = U_{j=1}^{i} C_{j=1}^{i} - U_{j=1}^{i} C_{j=1}^{i} \cap U \]  

(4)

\[ \text{sim}(\pi,U) = \sum_{s=1}^{\pi} \text{sim}(\pi_{i},\pi_{j}) \]  

(5)

\[ (U:a \text{ set of the useful items }:U = \{ i_{1},i_{2},...,i_{k} \}) \]  

\[ (C: \text{the most similar set of items}:C = \{ n_{1},n_{2},...,n_{t} \}) \]

As we can see form the above, this algorithm cannot find the potential interests. Users can only get the items which are in the same field without any creativity, this greatly declines the flexibility of this system. A new algorithm was presented in this paper in order to deal with this problem of diversity, called “A Personalized Recommendation Technology”[15].

III . A COMPOSITE COLLABORATIVE FILTERING ALGORITHM

This algorithm mainly combine the collaborative filtering based on user algorithm and the collaborative filtering based on item algorithm together, and using a spearman rank correlation coefficient as the correlation coefficient not by Pearson to ensure the equal space in logic area of the data, which do not need to be received in pairs from the normal distribution. In this algorithm, the collaborative filtering algorithm predicts a similar item sets by the data had been given, and then use another algorithm to get the final assessment and solve the problem called singular data.

As we can see form the Figure1: The phone is an interest of the target user, the system compute the algorithm using the assessment of this interest to find his or her other interests in the same field, such as carema, cell-phone, computer etc. Then find the potential users who have these interests, these new users must have other interests; all of these new interests are the final information used to recommend to the target user.

The Operating process will be shown below:

Get a milar data set

(a) Calculate the similarity \(SD_{j}^{i}[19] \):

\[ Rs_{j,q} = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_{i}^{2}}{N(N^{2}-1)} \]  

(6)

(\( N: \text{number of users}; R_{j,c}, R_{q,c}: \text{the assessment of user j,q} \))

(b) To compare with all the results above, we use the top-M as the neighborhood \(SD_{j} \) of data-\( j \).

Predict the assessments from user to any items using the similar data.

The main formula is:

\[ P_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{m \neq S_{j}} Rs_{j,m} \times R_{j,n}}{\sum_{m \neq S_{j}} |Rs_{j,n}|} \]  

(7)

Predict the assessments from the target user to the new data.

(a) Get the similarity \(V(a,i)\) on \(SD_{j}\) between the target user and any other users.

The formula:

\[ V(a,i) = 1 - \frac{\sum d_{i}^{2}}{N(N^{2}-1)} d_{i} = R_{uk} - R_{uk} \]  

(8)

(b) To compare with all the result above, we use the users set of \(V_{a,i}\) in top-M as the neighborhood of user-a on data.

Get relevated recommends [20].

The main formula is:
\[ P_{a,j} = \bar{R}_a + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{M}(a,j)} V(a,j)(R_{a,j} - \bar{R}_a) \]  \hfill (9)

(\bar{R}_a: \text{average assessment form user i})

The Operating Process\cite{21} is shown below (Figure 2):

![Operating Process Diagram](image)

**Start**

Input 1 j grades Wi,j by Target User

Based on the Wi,j, get the similar item using Formula

\[ R_{a,i} = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{N(N-1)} d_i = R_{a,j} - R_{a,i} \]

Sim(i,j) = Top \text{--} M

Get the items similar set

Calculate the similarity between target user and any other users. The Formula

\[ V(a,i) = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{N(N-1)} d_i = R_{a,i} - R_{a,j} \]

\[ V(a,i) = \text{Top} \text{--} M \]

Get the users similar set

Get the access of target user to all new items, target user

\[ R_{a,j} = 1 - \frac{6 \sum d_i^2}{N(N-1)} \]

Get the Top M

**End**

**Figure 2. The operating process**

**IV. EXPERIMENT AND ANALYZING**

**Experiment environment**

Hardware environment: Dual-core CPU, 1G, 80G
Software environment: windows XP, Java.

**Experiment data**

The data set is “BookLens data” \cite{22,23,24,25} which is from Minnesota University (http://grplens.org), it contains 945 Users, 683 Books and a figure of 132046 for assessment.

**Access criteria**

In this experiment, we use MAE\cite{2,26,27,28} as an access criterion.

\[ \text{MAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |P_i - Q_i| \]  \hfill (10)

P_i: The predicted value of this algorithm;
Q_i: The true value;
N: The number of data

**Analyzing data result**

The meaning of capital letter:

A: represents a “none of user starting” in composite collaborative filtering algorithm.
B: represents “data sparsity” in composite collaborative filtering algorithm.
C: represents a “Collaborative Filtering based on user”
D: represents a “Collaborative Filtering based on data

(a) Exq1: User: 356; Book: 178.

The different MAE when the assessment of item is varied. As is shown in the below table1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None user</td>
<td>0.3587</td>
<td>0.3587</td>
<td>0.3307</td>
<td>0.3080</td>
<td>0.3011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sparsity</td>
<td>0.2552</td>
<td>0.2552</td>
<td>0.2511</td>
<td>0.2866</td>
<td>0.3224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None user/data</td>
<td>0.3934</td>
<td>0.3934</td>
<td>0.3748</td>
<td>0.3842</td>
<td>0.3520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>0.4137</td>
<td>0.4929</td>
<td>0.4962</td>
<td>0.4908</td>
<td>0.4908</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyze table 1 and translate it into a special figure, as we can see from Fig.3.

Generally, The MAE of Collaborative algorithm based on item is superior to the traditional collaborative algorithm when solving the problem of “none user” and the problem of “data sparsity”. And it is more superior to the algorithm which can only deal with the problem of “data sparsity” and the collaborative algorithm which can only deal with “none user”.

The fluctuation of MAE: the collaborative algorithm which could solve the problem of both “data sparsity” and “none user” fluctuate slightly; while the collaborative algorithm based on user fluctuate significantly when solving either the problem of “data sparsity” or the problem of “none user”.

![Figure 3. User 356 Book:178](image)
There will be a different MAE when the number of user for assessment is changed. As is shown in the below table2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>U356B178 (MAE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None user</td>
<td>0.2965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sparity</td>
<td>0.2883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None user/Data sparity</td>
<td>0.3425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on User</td>
<td>0.2361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyze table2 and get the following conclusion:

As we can see from the figure:

Generally, the algorithm based on item is better than the collaborative algorithm of solving the problem of both “data sparsity” and “none user”, and the collaborative algorithm of solving the problem of “data sparsity” and the problem of “none user” respectively.

The fluctuation of MAE: the collaborative algorithm which could solve the problem of both “data sparsity” and “none user” fluctuate slightly; while the other three algorithms fluctuate significantly.

(b) Exq2: User: 648 Book: 324., as is shown in Figure5 and Figure6.

The different MAE when the assessment of item is varied. As is shown in the below table3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3</th>
<th>U648B324 (MAE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None user</td>
<td>0.3033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sparity</td>
<td>0.1903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyze table3 and get the following conclusion:

As we can see from Figure5:

Generally, the MAE of collaborative algorithm which can solve “data sparsity” and “none user” are superior to the collaborative algorithm which can solve either “none user” or “data sparsity” and more superior to the algorithm based on item.

The fluctuation of MAE: all of these algorithms are fluctuated slightly.

Generate different MAE when assessments of user are varied. As is shown in the below table4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4</th>
<th>U 648B324 (MAE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None user</td>
<td>0.2652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sparity</td>
<td>0.2379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None user/Data sparity</td>
<td>0.4311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based On User</td>
<td>0.1808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyze table4 and get the following conclusion:
As we can see from Figure 5:

Generally, the MAE of algorithm based on user is superior to the collaborative algorithm when solving both “none user” and “data sparsity” and more superior to the algorithm which can only deal with the “data sparsity” and the algorithm which can only deal with “none user”.

The fluctuation of MAE: all of these algorithms are fluctuated slightly

Conclusion: with the data being increased, we get the same results.

(c) Exp3: A deeply Comparison between Exp1 and Exp2.

We can get two graphs from the above two experiments. As are shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8:

From these two charts, we can get: MAE is declined in both A and B when the data is increasing. A more intuitive chart is shown in Figure 9:

Obviously the largest error-rate of the Collaborative Filtering based on data. Although MAE of Collaborative Filtering based on users is lower than that of our new algorithm, it cannot solve the problem of “none of user starting” and “data sparsity” as we presented in section 2. So the composite collaborative filtering algorithm is valuable to be presented.

V. CONCLUSION

Personalized recommendation technology has been widely applied in various fields, increasingly more efficient recommendation algorithms have been proposed these years. In this paper, the experiment results are based on the restrictive data. In the future we will try to do the experiments on a more optimized data set which will be obtained through cloud computing [30]. This will validate the algorithm for further. We will also try to use other related algorithms to optimize this technology, such as quantum behavior of micro-group optimization [29,30], fuzzy C means clustering algorithm [31].
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